

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/809,249		MCKEE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	QING-YUAN WU		2194	

All Participants:

(1) QING-YUAN WU.

(2) Lawrence E. Carter.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:30 PM EST

/QING-YUAN WU/
Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was placed to Mr. Lawrence E. Carter, Reg. No. 51,532 to confirmed the typographical error in the IDS and that the applicant is intended to cite the Office Action for US Patent Application number 10/402,075. In addition, applicant confirmed the number of pages of the Office Action for US Patent Application number 10/692,324 to be 14 pages.